**To: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Reference: - Procurement of construction of two G+4 dormitory and two G+0 laundry blocks at MiwutaseWerki campus**

**Procurement reference number: ICB/ADU/007/2010**

**Subject: Clarification and Amendment on Floated Bid Document**

The following clarification and amendment on the floated bid document has been made as per the bidder clarification request

**Q-1** on ITB 13.9 it mentioned that “no price adjustment” whereas on special condition of contract price adjustment shall be applied for cement, reinforcement bar and fuel. Please clarify whether the price adjustment or not

* **Answer**: On section 2 of the bid document, Bid data sheet ITB 13.9, instruction to bidder, Article C on this preparation of bid shall be amended to “**Price adjustment of contract price shall be allowed after twelve (12) months from the effective date of the contract for only those categories of inputs which are specifically listed here under”**
* Cement
* Reinforcement bar
* Fuel

**Q-2** on bid data sheet ITB 16.3 it said that “bidder shall provide at least three certificates of satisfactory execution over the past 3 years with a budget of at least 150million but while on the specific experience said that one contract over the last 10 years with a project cost of at least 190 million successfully and satisfactory completed” which one is correct?

* **Answer**: on section 3 evaluation methodology and criteria 3.2 stated that “The Bidder has successfully participated as contractor, subcontractor or joint venture, in at least 1(one) contract within the last 10(ten) years, with a value of at least 190 million Birr, that have been successfully and substantially completed and that are similar to the proposed Works” as m**ust meet requirement but on ITB 16.3.** Stated that “Bidder shall provide at least three Certificates of satisfactory execution of contracts provided by contracting parties to the contracts successfully completed in the course of the past 3 years with a budget of at least 150 million Birr “as an additional criteria which are not must meet criteria or have no weight on the detail technical bid evaluation.

**Q-3** on professional qualification and capability of the bidder’s staff currently on the work over last three months payroll staff currently on the work over last three months payroll must be attached whereas for the key personnel is 6 months payroll. Please clarify it?

* **Answer**: On section 3 of the bid document, **evaluation methodology and criteria** 2.0, Professional Qualifications and Capability of the Bidder, Article 2.1 on this professional qualification and capability of the bidder shall be amended to“A payroll for the **last 6 months with tax payment receipt** (payroll tax) and the bidder shall provide supporting document (i.e. employment agreement document, payroll for the staff that indicates its financial payment for the **last six months**) so that it will confirm that the above staffs are indeed currently working for the Contractor.”

**Q-4** key personnel on must met criteria and on point rate have a miss-matched general work experience and relevant work experience. Please clarify it?

* **Answer:** The specified key personnel criteria on **section 3 evaluation criteria on sub section 2,** it is must meet requirement but on point rate, it is criteria for proposed key professional staff on the bid project.

**Q-5**the personnel for the key positions and equipment, listed on qualification criteria (page 3 and 5) are different from the one listed on evaluation (rating) section (page 8).So we like to request which one is correct?

-**Answer:** It is not must to make the key personnel and equipment in must meet and point rate criteria the same. Therefore; bidders are expected to summit based on our bid proposal.

**Q-6** the bid invitation states that; one bidder shall participate on one lot only, however according to the revised public procurement directive clause 8 ሀ; the bidder can participate on multiple lots if he can fulfill the sum up requirements. In addition having lots of bidders on the bid is advantageous for the procuring entity because it increases the chances of meeting a competitive bidder. so we like the university to correct the contradiction.

 -**Answer:** bidders can participate in both of the lots but it is to remind you that our evaluation process will follow the bid document and the revised procurement directive 1 and 2.

**Q-7**clause 3ለ; of revised public procurement directive states that the bidder can fulfill the specific experience criteria with two projects if he can’t fulfill it with one project. It means the bidder that does not have 190 million birr experience, but two projects 95 million each is eligible for the bid. However the bidding document doesn’t state anything about this. so herewith we also like to request you to amend the requirement as per the directive.

**-Answer: our evaluation process will be based on our bid document and revised public procurement directive 1 and 2.**

Besides, based on clarification request on completeness of specification on the following blocks and work an item that has been hidden during printing from excel file, clear specification is attached with this amendment.

1. G+4 Dormitory Building: *Water proofing item3.01&3.03,carpentry & joinery item 4.04,aluminum work item 5.03&5.04 &finishing work item 7.1*
2. G+0 Laundry Building: *aluminum work item 5.01, finishing work item 7.03 &electrical work item 20*

**To: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Reference: - Procurement of construction of two G+4 class room blocks at MiwutaseWerki campus**

**Procurement reference number: ICB/ADU/007/2010**

**Subject: Clarification and Amendment on Floated Bid Document**

The following clarification and amendment on the floated bid document has been made as per the bidder clarification request

**Q-1** on bid data sheet ITB 16.3 it said that “bidder shall provide at least five certificates of satisfactory execution over the past 5 years with a budget of at least 50million but while on the specific experience said that one contract over the last 10 years with a project cost of at least 126 million successfully and satisfactory completed” which one is correct?

* **Answer**: on section 3 evaluation methodology and criteria 3.2 stated that “The Bidder has successfully participated as contractor, subcontractor or joint venture, in at least 1(one) contract within the last 10(ten) years, with a value of at least126 million Birr, that have been successfully and substantially completed and that are similar to the proposed Works” as a Must meet requirement but on ITB 16.3. Stated that “Bidder shall provide at least five Certificates of satisfactory execution of contracts provided by contracting parties to the contracts successfully completed in the course of the past 5 years with a budget of at least 50 million Birr “as an additional criteria which are not must meet criteria or have no weight on the detail technical bid evaluation.

**Q-2** the bid invitation states that; one bidder shall participate on one lot only, however according to the revised public procurement directive clause 8 ሀ; the bidder can participate on multiple lots if he can fulfill the sum up requirements. In addition having lots of bidders on the bid is advantageous for the procuring entity because it increases the chances of meeting a competitive bidder. So we like the university to correct the contradiction.

 -**Answer:** bidders can participate in both of the lots but it is to remind you that our evaluation process will follow the bid document and the revised procurement directive 1 and 2.